

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/534,857	CANARD ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN	2431	

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN

(2) Ian Blum

**Date of Interview:** 1 July 2010

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:00am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

n/a

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an amendment to fix the specification format. That amendment was agreed upon and is including with this action.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. R. V./

Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)